

ALDERWOMAN WILHELM: Mayor, you called on me.

MAYOR OLSON: Alderwoman Wilhelm.

ALDERWOMAN WILHELM: I still think the head count is pretty important. They're willing to do it. I don't know why we wouldn't do that. Otherwise, you know, you could end up with one of the loudest slaughterhouses in the USA.

And as far as the current complaint process, I sure which I would have remembered to bring their old special use because it talks in there that if they have a complaint they have to remedy within six hours or the health department shuts them down. So there's really good language in that 1971 special use that governs them right now. I wish, you know, we would have been given that. I wish the planning commission would have been given that when the public hearing was going on because we might have had some of those conditions in there already. Without a little bit more of the conditions, I'm, you know, not feeling really comfortable about the protections from our community. And I guess I have to agree with Alderman Mayer.

this isn't about not liking Straus. This isn't about vegan for me. I grew up on a farm. We actually did raise cows that went out for -- to be

1 raised for this issue, so I was involved in meat
2 packing growing up, so I know what it's like. But
3 this is to me not the right location, and one of the
4 special use conditions was to look for an alternate
5 location. I think that would be more over by 27th
6 Street on the border over there. I think it would be
7 a perfect fit. You'd have a bus line. It would be
8 much more fitting than this area, so.

MAYOR OLSON: Are we clear?

ALDERWOMAN WILHELM: So we're clear, no 700,
11 no water usage and no complaint process that they can
12 shut down if they don't fix it in so many hours, we're
13 not including any of that?

So to reiterate what came directly from the Nov. 2, 2020 transcript, not only did the Common Council and Mayor reverse the SUP denial, they provided virtually no limits on Straus.

In the 1971 SUP, which Straus is currently operating under, they are:

- subject to noise restrictions,
- subject to water restrictions,
- limited as to the volume and type of animals they can slaughter
- must respond to citizen complaints, or be shut down

Now, with a new facility, 4 times the size, in a much more ecologically sensitive area, where cattle (as opposed to calves) will be killed, there are

- no restrictions on the type or volume of animals to be slaughtered
- no restriction to the eventual size of the slaughterhouse
- no restriction to the amount of noise created
- no redress at all for neighboring citizen complaints

If not illegal, it is obscene and a travesty to the tax payers of Franklin.